ANNEX II: SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TEMPLATE

Project Information

Project Information	
1. Project Title	Pacific Security Sector Governance Project – extension 2019
2. Project Number	00122730
3. Location	Fiji

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability? Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

A human rights-based approach to development, which pays particular attention to the voice of disadvantaged and marginalised people will be applied. Through utilising a human rights based approach for access to justice the focus is placed on ensuring that impoverished and vulnerable people are empowered to utilise the institutions that are most relevant for them in obtaining justice, while at the same time stressing the importance of strengthening the capacity of those relevant institutions to deliver justice; facilitating a convergence between popular expectations and institutional capacities to respond, aiming to deepen the social contract.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

In addressing and prioritising the particular needs of women's access to justice, the approach taken is in line with recommendations of the Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women General Recommendation number 33 on women's access to justice July 2015. The General Recommendation is founded on notions of inclusiveness and comprehensiveness, stressing the importance of women's access to justice in diverse legal systems and all areas of law for all women, irrespective of economic or social status, political background, geographical location, disability, sexual orientation or gender identity.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

In the development of the project strategy any potential environmental adverse impacts that could be caused by this project were considered and it was found that none of the activities to be conducted under this project will cause any adverse impacts on the environment.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental $\underline{\text{Risks}}$

Note: Describe l Attachment 1 – I risks have been i	briefly potential s Risk Screening Cho dentified in Attacl	ntial Social and Environme social and environmental r ecklist (based on any "Yes" hment 1 then note "No Risk Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not	isks identified in : responses). If no : ss Identified" and :	QUESTION 3: Wi significance of th and environmenta Note: Respond to C before proceeding to	ie pot I risks: Ivestion	ential social ? ns 4 and 5 below	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?		
Risk Description		Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High	(Comment	ts	reflected in the	assessment and management measures as Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that uld consider all potential impacts and risks.		
Risk 1: No Risks k									
	QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?					_			
	Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance)						Comments		
				Low Risk	✓				
				Moderate Risk					
	High Risk QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirement				A COLUMN	#			
	of the SES are re		ks and risk categorizat	ion, what require	nents				
	Check all that apply					Comments			
	Principle 1: Human Rights								
	Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment								
	Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management								
	2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation								
	3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions				ļ				
	4. Cultural Heritage								
	5. Dis placement and Resettlement								
	6. Indigenous Peoples								
	7. Pollution	Prevention and Resource L	Efficiency						

Final Sign Off

Signature	DocuSigned by:		Date
QA Assessor		Nanise Saune-Qaloewai	
QA Approver	Docusigned by: 3FEAC/68B3AA4AD	Revai Makanje Aalbaek	
PAC Chair	DGB1\$5682669A4A2	Revai Makanje Aalbaek	

SESP Attachment 1: Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

	ecklist Potential Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u>	
Pri	nciples 1: Human Rights	Answer (Yes/No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? ¹⁸	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7∙	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Pri	nciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4-	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	No
	nciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are ompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below	
Sta	ndard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	No
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?	No

^{a8} Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

	For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	
For	example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	No
Sta	ndard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant ¹⁹ greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)? For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	No
Sta	ndard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to limited adequate training or accountability)?	No
taı	ndard 4: Cultural Heritage	
.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
,.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Sta	ndard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No

¹⁹ In regards to CO_{2,} 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

7-5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy,	
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
Sta	ndard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
5.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
5.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
	indigenous peoples by the country in question)? If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.	
6.3	and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as	No
	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
ŭ.	ndard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
	property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based	
	resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)? Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? ²⁰	No
)	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to	No

Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.